

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/921,645	MEADE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(2) Timothy Worrall.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58, 64 and 71

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Worrall was contacted because the application could be allowed if it wasn't for two problems: claim 64 depended from cancelled claim 66 and claim 71 was not further limiting. Mr. Worrall agreed to cancellation of claim 71 and amendment to claim 64 to remove its dependency from claim 66. Mr Worrall further asked to change the dependency of claim 58 from claims 54, 55 or 56 to claims 55, 56 or 57. The changes made are introduced by Examiner's amendment..